UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,036	03/02/2004	Eric J. Hull	109909-136740	1261
60172 7590 01/05/2009 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. 1420 FIFTH, SUITE 3010			EXAMINER	
			LEE, JUSTIN YE	
SEATTLE, WA 98101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,036	HULL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin Y. Lee	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Oc	ctober 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61-73</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61-73</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 10/14/08.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 73 and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1).

Consider claim 73. Keinonen et al. disclose a mobile electronic communication device (Fig. 2) comprising:

a transceiver (network transceiver 206, Fig. 2);

Art Unit: 2617

a touch-screen display (output/display 202, Fig. 2 and col. 4, lines 67-col. 5, line 1); and

a processor unit coupled to the transceiver and touch-screen display (cpu 208, Fig. 2).

Keinonen et al. do not disclose the processor unit is configured to cause a light unit to light a first virtual key selected from a plurality of virtual keys of the touch-screen display to indicate receipt of a message from a first source, the first source being the only one of a plurality of sources to be associated with said first virtual key, wherein the lighted first virtual key manifests an appearance of being illuminated, and cause a light unit to light a second virtual key selected from a plurality of virtual keys of the touch-screen display to indicate receipt of a message from a second sources, the second source being the only one of the plurality of sources to be associated with said second virtual key, wherein the lighted second virtual key manifesting an appearance of being illuminated.

Tyroler further disclose the processor unit is configured to cause a light unit to light a first virtual key selected from a plurality of virtual keys of the touch-screen display to indicate receipt of a message from a first source, the first source being the only one of a plurality of sources to be associated with said first virtual key, wherein the lighted first virtual key manifests an appearance of being illuminated, and cause a light unit to light a second virtual key selected from a plurality of virtual keys of the touch-screen display to indicate receipt of a message from a second sources, the second source being the only one of the plurality of sources to be associated with said second virtual

key, wherein the lighted second virtual key manifesting an appearance of being illuminated (col. 5, lines 8-26, a LED lights according to a source of a message is received. Each of the priority list can just having one address. For example, the user of the device only input one address for each of the priority list. So a message received from the only address will illuminate one of the LEDs associated with that address. When combined with Keinonen et al. the LEDs can be emulated on the touch-screen display 202 as virtual light sources).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Tyroler into the teachings of Keinonen et al. for the purposes of notifying the user the priority of the received messages (col. 5, lines 8-26).

Consider claim 62. The combination further disclose wherein the virtual key manifesting the appearance of being illuminated is associated with a contact, and the message is received from the associated contact (Tyroler, col. 5, lines 8-26).

Consider claim 63. The combination further disclose wherein the processor unit is configured to cause a third virtual key to simultaneously manifest another appearance of being illuminated to indicate that a message has been received from a contract associated with the thrid virtual key (Tyroler, col. 5, lines 8-26).

Art Unit: 2617

4. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1) as applied to claim 73 and further in view of McLaughlin et al. (US 4,975,694).

Consider claim 61. Keinonen et al. and Tyroler do not disclose wherein the mobile electronic communication device is configured to receive messages of two or more types, wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the light unit to manifest a further appearance of outputting the light with modulation that depends on the received message's type.

McLaughlin et al. further disclose wherein the mobile electronic communication device is configured to receive messages of two or more types, wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the light unit to manifest a further appearance of outputting the light with modulation that depends on the received message's type (col. 6, lines 9-27, different light to light up to indicate different received messages whether the message is a protect state type of message or pre-delete state type of message).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of McLaughlin et al. into the teachings of Keinonen et al. and Tyroler for the purposes of informing the user of a received message.

5. Claims 64-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1) as applied to claim 73 and further in view of Williams et al. (US 6,753,842).

Consider claim 64. Keinonen et al. and Tyroler do not disclose wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the virtual key to manifest a further appearance of outputting of light with modulation that depends on an age of a message received by the mobile electronic communication device.

Williams et al. further disclose wherein the processor unit is configured to cause the virtual key to manifest a further appearance of outputting of light with modulation that depends on an age of a message received by the mobile electronic communication device (Williams et al., col. 4, lines 1-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Williams et al. into the teachings of Keinonen et al. and Tyroler for the purposes of conserving battery power (col. 1, lines 35-39).

Consider claim 65. The combination further disclose wherein the manifested modulated light has a manifested color that depends on the relative age of a received message (Williams et al., column 1 lines 41-49).

Consider clam 66. The combination further disclose wherein the manifested modulated light has a manifested blinking rate that indicates a number of unread messages received from a contact (Williams et al., column 4 lines 1-21).

Consider clam 67. The combination further disclose wherein the message is a most recent message received from a contact (Williams et al., column 3 lines 23-35).

Consider clam 68. The combination further disclose wherein the message is an unread message received from the contact (Williams et al., column 4 lines 1-21).

Consider clam 69. The combination further disclose wherein the relative age is indicated using a plurality of predetermined age categories (Williams et al., column 1 lines 41-49).

Consider clam 70. The combination further disclose wherein each age category of the plurality of age categories is represented by a predetermined color of light manifested by the virtual light unit (Williams et al., column 3 lines 23-35).

Consider clam 71. The combination further disclose wherein each a age category of the plurality of age categories is represented by a predetermined number of light flashes within a. cycle manifested by the virtual light unit (Williams et al., column 4 lines 1-21).

6. Claim 72 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinonen et al. (US 6,959,207 B2) in view of Tyroler (US 6,320,941 B1) and McLaughlin et al. (US 4,975,694) as applied to claim 61 and further in view of Williams et al. (US 6,753,842).

Consider claim 72. Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and McLaughlin et al. do not disclose wherein the message is a SMS message.

Williams et al. further disclose wherein the message is a SMS message (column 3 lines 23-35).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Williams et al. into the teachings of Keinonen et al. and Tyroler and McLaughlin et al. for the purposes of conserving battery power (col. 1, lines 35-39).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the Tyroler reference, the

Applicant argues that, Tyroler teaches an indicator light associated a list of addresses (priority list). Tyroler does not teach associating only one address with only one virtual key.

In contrast to Applicant's assertions,

Tyroler teaches a LED lights according to
a source of a message is received. Each
of the priority list can just having one
address. For example, the user of the
device only input one address for each of
the priority list. So a message received
from the only address will illuminate one of
the LEDs associated with that address
(col. 5, lines 8-26).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-5258. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Thu 9:30 to 8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Eisen can be reached on 5712727687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,036 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Justin Lee AU 2617 12/29/08

/Alexander Eisen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617